NOV. 9. 2004 5:17PM

PABST PATENT GROUP

NO. 2141 P. 1



Pabst Patent Group LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30361

Telephone (404) 879-2150 Telefax (404) 879-2160

information@pabstpatent.com www.pabstpatent.com

# **TELEFAX**

Date:

November 9, 2004

Total pages: 18 including cover

sheet

To:

USPTO

**Telephone:** 571-272-1600

Telefax: 703-305-3230

From:

Rivka D. Monheit

Telephone: 404-879-2152

Telefax: 404-879-2160

Our Docket No. PDC 116

Your Docket No.

Client/Matter No. 078374/00002

Please call (404) 879-2150 if you did not receive all of the pages, or if they are illegible.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This facsimile, along with any documents, files, or attachments, may contain information that is confidential, privileged, or otherwise exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, printing, distribution or use of any information contained in or attached to this facsimile is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile is error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or by telephone collect at the numbers stated above, and destroy the original facsimile and its attachments without reading, printing, or saving in any manner. Your cooperation is appreciated. Thank you.

#### **MESSAGE:**

Appellants:

Solomon S. Steiner, Robert Feldstein, Per B. Fog, and Trent Poole

Serial No.:

09/621,092

Art Unit: 3743

Filed:

July 21, 2000

Examiner: M. Patel

For:

UNIT DOSE CAPSULES AND DRY POWER INHALER

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons  TRANSMITTAL FORM  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)  Total Number of Pages In This Submission 17			Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor Art Unit Examiner Name Attorney Docket Numb	09/6 July Solo 3743 M. P.	Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 atem and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE action of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 09/621,092  July 21, 2000  Solomon S. Steiner  3743  M. Patel  PDC 116		
ENCLOSURES (Gheck all that apply)							
Fee Attached  Amendment/Reply  After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request  Express Abandonment Request			Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revoce  Change of Corresponden  Ferminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)   Landscape Table or	ation ce Address	After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Repty Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below);  Print-out from American Heritage Dictionary		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name Pabst Patent Group LLP  Signature  Ruthe D Monheil							
Printed name Rivka D. Monheit							
Date November 9, 2004				Reg. No. 48,731			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING  I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:							
Signature Cicilia Sheppard							
		Cecilia Shep		, , ,			November 9, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the IndMutal case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Crist Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Signature

PTO/SB/17 (10-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond Complete if Known FEE TRANSMITTAL Application Number 09/621,092, July 21, 2000 Filing Date for FY 2005 Solomon S. Steiner First Named Inventor Effectivo 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision. M. Patel Examiner Name Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 3743 Art Unit (\$) 225.00TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT **PDC 116** Attorney Docket No. FEE CALCULATION (continued) METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) Money Order 3. ADDITIONAL FEES Check Credit card Other None Large Entity , Small Entity ✓ Deposit Account: Fee (S) Fee Fee Code Fee Description Code Decoail (\$) Fee Paid 50-3129 Account Number 1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet Deposit Account 1052 60 2052 Pabst Patent Group LLP Name Non-English specification 1053 130 130 1063 The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) 1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filling a request for ex parte reexamination ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below ☐ Credit any overpayments 1804 920 1804 920\* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Charge any additional fea(s) or any underpayment of fea(s) Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action 1805 1605 1,840\* 1,840 to the above-identified deposit account 55.00 1251 110 2251 55 Edension for reply within first month FEE CALCULATION 215 Extension for raply within second month 1252 430 2252 1. BASIC FILING FEE 490 Extension for reply within third month 1253 2253 980 arge Entity Small Entity Fee Paid Fee Description 1254 1.530 2254 765 Extension for reply within fourth month Fee Fee Code (\$) ee Fee 1,040 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2.080 2255 Utility filing fee 1001 790 2001 395 170.00 1401 340 2401 170 Notice of Appeal 2002 175 Design filing fee 1002 350 340 2402 170 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1402 1003 550 2003 275 Plant filing fee 150 Request for oral hearing 1403 300 2403 Reissue filing fee 1004 790 2004 395 1451 1.510 1.510 Petition to Institute a public use proceeding Provisional filing fee 1451 1005 160 2005 RΩ 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable 1452 110 2452 0.00 SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 1453 1,330 2459 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 1501 1,370 2501 685 Utility issue fee (or reissue) Fee from Fee Paid 1502 2502 245 Design issue fee 490 Extra Claims wolad Total Claims -20° = | O X 1503 660 2503 330 Plant issue fee Independent -3°° = 0 1460 130 1460 130 Petitions to the Commissioner Multiple Dependent 1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt Large Entity | Small Entity 1808 180 1806 Fee Description 40 Recording each patent assignment per Fee Fee Code (\$) Code (\$) 8021 40 8021 property (times number of properties) Claims in excess of 20 395 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1202 18 2202 1809 790 2809 Independent claims in excess of 3 44 1201 88 2201 395 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1810 780 2810 1203 300 2203 150 \* Reissue Independent claims over original palent 1204 86 2204 395 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 1801 790 2801 Request for expedited examination of a design application 1802 800 1802 \*\* Reissue dalms in excess of 20 2205 1205 18 9 and over original patent Other fee (specify) (\$) 0.00 SUBTOTAL (2) Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 225.00 or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see above (Complete (if applicable)) SUBMITTED BY Registration No. 48,731 Telephone (404) 879-2152 Rivka D. Monheit Name (PrintType) (Atlamey/Agent) Monheit November 9, 2004 when 7).

> WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete. Including gathering, preparing, and submitting the corripleted application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. PDC 116 078374/00002

NOV. 9. 2004 5:18PM PABST PATENT GROUP

NO. 2141 P. 5

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appellants: Solomon S. Steiner, Robert Feldstein, Per B. Fog, and Trent Poole

Serial No.: 09/621,092 Art Unit: 3743

Filed: July 21, 2000 Examiner: M. Patel

For: UNIT DOSE CAPSULES AND DRY POWDER INHALER

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

This is an appeal from the final rejection of claims 30, 41, and 43-45 in the Office Action mailed April 8, 2004, in the above-identified patent application. A Notice of Appeal was filed on August 9, 2004. Submitted with this Appeal Brief is a Petition for Extension of Time to extend the period for response one month, to and including November 9, 2004. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$225.00 to Deposit Account No. 50-3129, the sum of the fee for a small entity for filing an Appeal Brief (\$170.00) and the fee for a one-month extension of time for a small entity (\$55.00). It is believed that no additional fee is required with this submission. However, should an additional fee be required, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 50-3129.

45051598v1

PDC 116 078374/00002

# (1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest of this application is MannKind Corp., the assignee.

# (2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are no related appeals or interferences known to appellant, the undersigned, or appellant's assignee which directly affects, which would be directly affected by, or which would have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

#### (3) STATUS OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL

Claims 28-30, 41, and 43-45 are pending. Claims 1-27, 31-40, and 42 have been cancelled. Claims 28 and 29 have been objected to for depending from a rejected base claim.

Claims 30, 41, and 43-45 are on appeal. The text of each claim on appeal, as pending, is set forth in an Appendix to this Appeal Brief.

## (4) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

The claims were last amended in the Response and Amendment filed via facsimile transmission on January 21, 2004.

#### (5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Capsules containing drug which is administered using an inhaler have been modified to include at least one keying surface on an outside surface of a distal end of the capsule that is either (1) adapted to orient the capsule within the inhaler and/or (2) identify the drug in the capsule (page 11, lines 14-24 and Figure 7). At least one hole in the capsule allows air to enter into the capsule and, carrying the drug to be administered, exit the capsule (page 10, lines 4-15). In one embodiment, the capsule contains a keying surface on the outside of one end, which is

45051598v1

2

PDC 116
078374000002

adapted to orient the capsule within the inhaler, and a keying surface on the outside of the other end, which identifies the drug to be placed in the capsule (Figure 7). The capsule may contain a liquid, powder, or gaseous drug (page 10, line 28 until page 11, line 4 and original claim 30).

The capsules may have different forms. In one embodiment where the capsule is formed of two tubes one partially within the other, the capsule consists of a first tube and a second tube, where the first and second tubes each have a long axis, with an inner and an outer surface radial to the long axis (page 9, lines 25-28). The tube is open at one end perpendicular to the long axis and closed at one end perpendicular to the long axis (page 9, line 27). The first tube has at least one protrusion on its outer surface, while the second tube has at least one protrusion on its innersurface (page 9, line 30 until page 10, line 1). The outer circumference of the first tube is approximately equal to the inner circumference of the second tube, such that the open end of the first tube can slide snugly into the open end of the second tube (page 9, line 24 until page 10, line 2). A protrusion on the outer surface of the first tube may slide past a protrusion on the inner surface of the second tube, locking the tubes together (page 10, lines 1-2). The first and second tubes may each have one or more secondary holes other than the openings at the end of each tube, where at least one secondary hole in the first tube may be made coincident with at least one secondary hole in the second tube when the first tube is slid onto the second tube in the unlocked position by rotation of the first and second tubes about their long axes (page 10, lines 4-7 and 10-14). When the first tube is locked onto the second tube, at least two secondary holes in the first tube may be made coincident with at least two secondary holes in the second tube by rotation of the first and second tubes about their long axes (page 10, lines 7-8). In a further embodiment, 45051598vl 078374/00002

the first and second tubes of this capsule contain keying surfaces at the closed ends of the tubes (page 11, lines 14-16).

# (6) ISSUE ON APPEAL

The sole issue presented on appeal is whether claims 30, 41 and 43-45 are novel as required by 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) over U.S. Patent No. 4,991,605 to Keritsis ("Keritsis").

## (7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS

The claims do not stand or fall together. Reasons for this grouping and arguments for the separate patentability of these groups of claims are provided below.

# (8) ARGUMENTS

#### (a) The Claimed Capsule

Inhalers are used to deliver drug to the pulmonary region of a patient. The drug is typically provided in a single dosage form, such as a blister pack or capsule. Many patients, especially diabetics, have trouble readily identifying and inserting capsules within an inhaler due to age, poor eyesight, and lack of fine motor control. In response to this need, appellants developed an improved capsule for administration to patients using an inhaler. Keying surfaces are used to ensure that the capsule is properly aligned in the inhaler and/or to uniquely identify the drug that is inside of the capsule. The keying surface must be properly aligned within the inhaler for the capsule to be fully inserted and the cap closed so that the drug can be administered. This is particularly important where the mechanism for delivering the drug is inhalation, which pulls air from a port in the inhaler through a first hole at the bottom of the capsule and up and out of the top of the capsule and into the patient's oral cavity. If the capsule 40781374400002

is not properly aligned, the holes will not line up with the air intake port and there will be insufficient air force to deliver the full dosage to the patient (see page 11, lines 8-18 and Figures 7 and 18). The keying surfaces may have different shapes which allow the capsule to mate with the inhaler (see page 11, lines 8-15) and which have unique identifying features. Many diabetics have impaired eyesight. They also tend to take a variety of medicines. It is difficult for them to read small labels. With a keyed surface, the patient is able to identify the capsule by the key which is specific for the drug. The inhaler has features that prevent the wrong drug from being administered since only if the alignment key and the identification key are correct can the cap be closed on the inhaler. This also prevents the capsule from being inserted upside down.

#### ii. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

#### Legal Standard

The standard for lack of anticipation is one of strict identity. To anticipate a claim for a patent, a single prior source must contain all of the claimed elements. Federal Circuit decisions repeatedly emphasize that anticipation is established only if the following three standards are met: (1) all the elements of an invention, as stated in a patent claim, (2) are identically set forth, (3) in a single prior art reference. See e.g. Transclean Corp. v. Bridgewood Services, Inc., 290 F.3d 1364, 62 USPQ2d 1865 (Fed. Cir. 2002); EMI Group North America, Inc. v. Cypress Semiconductor Corp., 268 F.3d 1342, 1350, 60 U.S.P.Q.2d 1423 (Fed. Cir. 2001) ("A prior art reference anticipates a patent claim if the reference discloses, either expressly or inherently, all of the limitations of the claim."); Acromed Corp. v. Sofamor Danek Group, Inc., 253 F.3d 1371, 1383, 59 U.S.P.Q.2d 1130 (Fed. Cir. 2001) ("Normally, to invalidate a patent by anticipation a PDC 116 (17874/100002)

NOV. 9. 2004 5:19PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 2141 P. 10

U.S.S.N. 09/621,092 Filed: July 21, 2000 APPEAL BRIEF

prior art reference needs to disclose each and every limitation of the claim."); Eli Lilly & Co. v. Barr Laboratories, Inc., 251 F.3d 955, 970, 58 U.S.P.Q.2d 1865 (Fed. Cir. 2001) ("A reference is anticipatory if it discloses every limitation of the claimed invention either explicitly or inherently."). To constitute an anticipation, a printed publication must describe the claimed invention with sufficient detail to enable a person of ordinary skill in the art to make it. See 1 Chisum on Patents § 3.04 [1] (1978 & rev. 2004).

Keritsis does not disclose all of the limitations in claims 30, 41 and 43-45

Keritsis does not disclose capsules for delivery of drug using an inhaler. Keritsis does not disclose a capsule for delivery of a drug using an inhaler having at least one hole for directing air through the capsule. On this basis alone, Keritsis does not disclose every element of the claimed devices.

More importantly, however, Keritsis does not disclose a keying surface on an outside surface of a distal end of the capsule, as required by claim 41 and its dependent claims. Keritsis describes smoking containers for adding flavorants and other additives that modify the characteristic of cigarettes and other smoking articles (see col. 7, lines 62-65). One container is depicted in Figures 6, 6A and 7. As illustrated in Figure 6, elements 610, 620 and 630 are combined to form the container that holds the additive. The specification explains that "element 610 is open at one end and closed at the other end by surface 616" (see col. 5, lines 65-66). Thus, element 610 alone cannot be characterized as a "capsule". There is no motivation to modify what is disclosed to form a capsule as claimed. Even if the combination of 610, 620 and

45051598v1 6 PDC 116 078374/00002

630 could be characterized as a "capsule", this capsule does not contain a keying element, let alone one that is located at the distal end of the capsule.

Element 613 is a ridge which allows element 610 to slide inside element 620 along the surface of element 623. Elements 613 and 623 run along the full length of their respective tubes, thus they are not located at the *distal end* of the capsule. Further, the combination of both elements 610 and 620, forms the complete capsule (see Figure 6). Thus, neither surface 613 nor 623 is located on an *outside surface* of the capsule. Similarly, element 619 is a smooth surface at an open end of one of the tubes. As noted in col. 6, lines 6-10, element 619 "is configured to fit *inside* lip 622 of element 620" (emphasis added). Thus, element 619 is located *inside* the capsule. Thus, none of the elements cited by the Examiner are keying surfaces located on an *outside* surface of a distal end of the capsule, as required by claims 30, 41, and 43-45.

Further, even if element 610 was considered to be a "capsule", it lacks one or more keying surfaces on its distal ends. As noted above, element 613 runs along the full length of element 610. It is not located on the end of element 610. In contrast, as described in Appellants' specification and depicted in Figures 7 and 18, the keying surfaces are located on opposite ends of the capsule. Therefore claims 30, 41, and 43-45 are novel over Keritsis.

Claims 43 and 45 are novel over Keritsis

In addition the failure of Keritsis to disclose the elements of independent claim 41, discussed above, Keritsis does not disclose a keying surface that orients the capsule within an inhaler, as required by claims 43 and 45. Keritsis discloses that the container should be located in the "smoke" stream of the smoking article and that the container may be held in place by 45051598v1

7

PDC 116
078374/000022

NOV. 9. 2004 5: 20PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 2141 P. 12

U.S.S.N. 09/621,092 Filed: July 21, 2000 APPEAL BRIEF

wrapping it with an overwrapper or tipping paper (col. 2, lines 26-40). Thus, Keritsis does not disclose a capsule that is capable of orienting itself within an inhaler. Rather, Keritsis requires a wrapper to keep the smoking article and smoking container connected to each other and oriented in the desired position. Therefore claims 43 and 45 are novel over Keritsis.

#### Claims 44 and 45 are novel over Keritsis

In addition to failure of Keritsis to discloses a capsule for use in an inhaler, at least one hole in the capsule that allows air to disperse and deliver the drug within the capsule to a patient, Keritsis does not disclose how or where one would place an identifier for the drug to be placed in the capsule, as required by claims 44 and 45. Further, as noted below, Keritsis does not even disclose including a medicament in the capsule. Therefore claims 44 and 45 are novel over Keritsis.

#### Claim 30 is novel over Keritsis

The failure of Keritsis to disclose the features of the capsule of the independent claims is discussed above. Keritsis also does not disclose including a medicament in the capsule, as required by claim 30. Keritsis includes flavorants and other additives that modify the characteristic of cigarettes and other smoking articles (see col. 7, line 62 until col. 8, line 8). A medicament is defined as "an agent that promotes recovery from injury or ailment; a medicine." (See enclosed printout from The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language (4<sup>th</sup> Ed.) (2000)). The additives in Keritsis's capsule add taste or flavor to the cigarette. They do not promote recovery from an injury or ailment. Thus, claim 30 is novel over Keritsis.

45051598v1 8 PDC 116

NOV. 9. 2004 5: 20PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 2141 P. 13

U.S.S.N. 09/621,092 Filed: July 21, 2000 APPEAL BRIEF

#### (9) SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Keritsis does not disclose all of the elements of the claimed capsules as required under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Keritsis does not disclose a capsule for use in an inhaler. Keritsis does not disclose a keying surface at the distal end of the capsule, nor on the outside surface of the capsule, which can be used to orient the capsule in an inhaler, nor a keying surface to uniquely identify the drug within the capsule. Additionally Keritsis requires wrapping paper to attach the smoking container to the smoking device. Keritsis includes taste modifying additives in the capsule, not medicaments. Finally, Keritsis does not disclose that an identifying marker should be placed on the smoking container, let alone one which identifies a drug to be placed in the capsule. Therefore, claims 30, 41, and 43-45 are novel over Keritsis.

Although not raised by the examiner, it is equally clear that Keritsis cannot make obvious the claimed subject matter, since there is no recognition of the claimed elements, alone or in combination, with motivation to combine as appellants have done, since there is no disclosure of an inhaler, much less a capsule for use therein.

45051598v1

PDC 116 78374/00002

For the foregoing reasons, Appellant submits that claims 28-30, 41, and 43-45 are patentable.

Respectfully submitted,

Rivka D. Monheit Reg. No. 48,731

Date: November 9, 2004

PABST PATENT GROUP LLP 400 Colony Square, Suite 1200 1201 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30361 (404) 879-2152 (404) 879-2160 (Facsimile) NOV. 9. 2004 5: 20PM PABST PATENT GROUP NO. 2141 P. 15

U.S.S.N. 09/621,092 Filed: July 21, 2000 APPEAL BRIEF

Appendix: Claims On Appeal

28. (previously presented) The capsule of claim 41 comprising a first tube and a

second tube, wherein:

(a) a first tube having a long axis, having an inner and an outer surface radial

to the long axis, wherein the tube is open at one end perpendicular to the long axis and closed at

one end perpendicular to the long axis; and wherein the first tube has at least one protrusion on

its outer surface; and

(b) a second tube having a long axis, having an inner and an outer surface

radial to the long axis, wherein the tube is open at one end perpendicular to the long axis and

closed at one end perpendicular to the long axis and wherein the second tube has at least one

protrusion on its inner surface; and wherein the outer circumference of the first tube is

approximately equal to the inner circumference of the second tube, such that the open end of the

first tube can slide snugly into the open end of the second tube; and wherein a protrusion on the

outer surface of the first tube may slide past a protrusion on the inner surface of the second tube,

locking the tubes together;

and wherein the first tube and the second tube each have one or more secondary holes

other than the openings at the end of each tube, wherein at least one secondary hole in the first

tube may be made coincident with at least one secondary hole in the second tube when the first

tube is slid onto the second tube in the unlocked position by rotation of the first and second tubes

about their long axes, and

45051598v1

PDC 116 078374/00002

wherein when the first tube is locked onto the second tube at least two secondary holes in the first tube may be made coincident with at least two secondary holes in the second tube by rotation of the first and second tubes about their long axes.

- 29. (original) The capsule of claim 28 wherein the first and second tubes further comprise keying surfaces at the closed ends of the tubes.
- 30. (previously presented) The capsule of claim 41 further including medicament selected from the group consisting of liquid, powder, and gaseous medicaments.
- 41. (previously presented) A capsule to contain drug for use in an inhaler comprising at least one keying surface on an outside surface of a distal end of the capsule that is adapted to orient the capsule within the inhaler or identifies the drug to be placed in the capsule and at least one hole allowing air to pass in, through and out of the capsule.
- 43. (previously presented) The capsule of claim 41 wherein the keying surface is adapted to orient the capsule within the inhaler.
- 44. (previously presented) The capsule of claim 41 wherein the keying surface identifies the drug to be placed in the capsule.
- 45. (previously presented) The capsule of claim 41 comprising a keying surface on the outside of one end which is adapted to orient the capsule within the inhaler and a keying surface on the outside of the other end which identifies the drug to be placed in the capsule.


45051598v1 12 FDC 116 078374/00002

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

- (1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST
- (2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
- (3) STATUS OF CLAIMS ON APPEAL
- (4) STATUS OF AMENDMENTS
- (5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION
- (6) ISSUES ON APPEAL
- (7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS
- (8) ARGUMENTS
  - (i) Appellants' claimed Device
  - (ii) Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102
- (9) SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Appendix: Claims On Appeal

Table of Contents



# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

your favo

Marine Aqu

Click He

freeze. 😋